

Pavelka-style completeness in expansions of Łukasiewicz logic

HECTOR FREYTES ^{*†}

University of Cagliari,
Dipartimento di Scienze Pedagogiche e Filosofiche,
Via Is Mirrionis 1, 09123, Cagliari-Italia
e-mail: hfreytes@gmail.com

Abstract

An algebraic setting for the validity of Pavelka style completeness for some natural expansions of Łukasiewicz logic by new connectives and rational constants is given. This algebraic approach is based on the fact that the standard MV-algebra on the real segment $[0, 1]$ is an injective MV-algebra. In particular the logics associated with MV-algebras with product and with divisible MV-algebras are considered.

Keywords: Injectives, MV-algebras, Pavelka completeness

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 03B50, 03B52, 06D35

Introduction

The completeness theorem for fuzzy propositional logic was proved by J. Pavelka in [13], who built a propositional many valued logical systems over Łukasiewicz logic adding to the language a truth constant $\bar{r}$ for each $r \in [0, 1]$, together with additional axioms. Later, Hájek gave a proof of the Pavelka completeness of Łukasiewicz logic with rational constants, based on

^{*}Permanent address: Instituto Argentino de Matemática (IAM), Saavedra 15 - 3er Piso - 1083 Buenos Aires, Argentina, CONICET.

[†]The author express his gratitude to Roberto Cignoli, for his advice during the preparation of this paper.

the continuity of Łukasiewicz implication on the real segment $[0, 1]$ (see [8, §3.3]). Following the ideas of Hájek's proof, analogous results were obtained for some expansions of Łukasiewicz logic (see [5], [7] and [10]).

The aim of this paper is to offer a new method for proving the validity of Pavelka style completeness of Łukasiewicz logic with rational constants, based on the crucial fact that the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]_{MV}$ is injective in the category of MV-algebras. This method can also be applied for a special class of expansions of Łukasiewicz logic, called compatible expansions. Such expansions guarantee the injectivity of the standard MV-algebra $[0, 1]_{MV}$ with the corresponding additional operations.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 1 we recall some basic definitions and properties of injectives, algebraizable logics and compatible expansions. Section 2 contains the main results of the paper. It is shown that Pavelka completeness can be established under some mild hypothesis on compatible expansions of Łukasiewicz logic. A type compactness is also proved. The results of section 2 are applied in section 3 to obtain a new proof of Pavelka completeness for Łukasiewicz logic, as well as Pavelka style completeness for product Łukasiewicz logic and for divisible Łukasiewicz logic.

1 Basic notions

We use freely all basic notions of universal algebra that can be found in [3]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of algebras of type τ . For all algebras A, B in $\mathcal{A}$, $[A, B]_{\mathcal{A}}$ will denote the set of all homomorphism $g : A \rightarrow B$.

An algebra A in $\mathcal{A}$ is *injective* iff for every monomorphism $f \in [B, C]_{\mathcal{A}}$ and every $g \in [B, A]_{\mathcal{A}}$ there exists $h \in [C, A]_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $hf = g$. A is *self-injective* iff every homomorphism from a subalgebra of A into A , extends to an endomorphism of A .

Recall from [6] that a simple algebra I_M is said to be *maximum simple* iff for each simple algebra I , I can be embedded into I_M . Two constant terms $0, 1$ of the language of $\mathcal{A}$ are called *distinguished constants* iff $A \models 0 \neq 1$ for each nontrivial algebra A in $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 1.1 [6, Theorem 3.4] *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a variety satisfying the congruence extension property, with distinguished constants $0, 1$. If I is a self-injective maximum simple algebra in $\mathcal{A}$ then I is injective.* $\square$

If τ is a family of finitary function symbols, by a *logic* $\mathcal{L}$ of type τ we

will understand a structural finitary consequence relation $\vdash_{\mathcal{L}}$ in the absolutely free algebra Fm_{τ} of type τ generated by the propositional variables $p_1, p_2, \dots$. Each function symbol of τ is called a *connective*, and the terms of Fm_{τ} are called *formulas*. Usually, $\vdash_{\mathcal{L}}$ is specified by a set of Hilbert style axiom schemes and inference rules. A set T of formulas is called a *theory* of $\mathcal{L}$ if $T \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \varphi$ implies $\varphi \in T$ for every $\varphi \in Fm_{\tau}$.¹ We denote by $Th\mathcal{L}$ the lattice of theories associated to $\mathcal{L}$ ([2, 1.1]). If S is a set of formulas, we denote by $T(S)$ the *theory generated* by S . Recall [2, Definition 2.8, Corollary 2.9] that a logic $\mathcal{L}$ is *algebraizable* by a quasivariety K of type τ via a finite set of binary formulas $p \Leftrightarrow q = (p \Leftrightarrow_i q)_i$ (called the equivalence formulas of $\mathcal{L}$) and a finite set of identities $\delta(p) \approx \epsilon(q) = (\delta_j(p) \approx \epsilon_j(q))_j$ (the defining equation of $\mathcal{L}$) if and only if the following conditions are met:

- i $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \vdash_{\mathcal{L}} \phi$ iff $\{\delta(\phi_i) \approx \epsilon(\phi_i)\}_{i=1}^n \models_K \delta(\phi) \approx \epsilon(\phi)$
- ii $\varphi \approx \psi \models_K \delta(\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi) \approx \epsilon(\varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi)$

we are using the abbreviations $p \Leftrightarrow q$ for $\{p \Leftrightarrow_i q\}_i$, $\delta(p \Leftrightarrow q) \approx \epsilon(p \Leftrightarrow q)$ for $\{\delta_j(p \Leftrightarrow_i q) \approx \epsilon_j(p \Leftrightarrow_i q)\}_{i,j}$. The quasivariety $\mathcal{K}$, is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{L}$, when it exists and the equivalence formulas and defining equations are also unique in the sense that for any other system $p \Leftrightarrow q$, $\delta'(p) \approx \epsilon'(q)$ satisfying i, ii, $\vdash p \Leftrightarrow q$ iff $\vdash p \Leftrightarrow q$ and $\models_K \delta'(p) \approx \epsilon'(q)$ iff $\models_K \delta(p) \approx \epsilon(q)$. Will be denote this quasivariety as $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}$. From [2, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem. 4.10], if we consider for each $T \in Th\mathcal{L}$

$$\Omega T = \{\varphi \approx \psi : \varphi \Leftrightarrow \psi \in T\}$$

then ΩT is a congruence in Fm_{τ} and the quasivariety generated by the set $\{Fm_{\tau}/\Omega T : T \in Th\mathcal{L}\}$ is $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}$. In what follows, for each $\alpha \in Fm_{\tau}$ will be denoted by $[\alpha]$ the equivalence class of α with respect $Fm_{\tau}/\Omega T$. Let $A \in \mathcal{K}$, a valuation of formulas on A is an homomorphism $v : Fm_{\tau} \rightarrow A$. If $T \in Th\mathcal{L}$ and $a \in A$, $v(T) = a$ means, as usual, that $v(\alpha) = a$ for each $\alpha \in T$.

Let E be a set of equations in the vocabulary τ that gives an axiomatization of a variety $\mathcal{A}$. If $\sigma = (f_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of operation symbols such that $\sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset$ and $E(\sigma)$ a set of equation in the expanded vocabulary $\tau \cup \sigma$, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ the variety of type $\tau \cup \sigma$ defined by the equations in the set $E \cup E(\sigma)$. We will refer to $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ as the $E(\sigma)$ -expansion of $\mathcal{A}$. For each

¹Notice that our notion of a theory differs from the one used in [8], where a theory is just a set of formulas.

$A \in \mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$, we denote by $Con_{\mathcal{A}}(A)$ the lattice of $\mathcal{A}$ -congruences of A and by $Con_{\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}}(A)$ the lattice of $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ -congruences of A . We say that $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ is a *compatible expansion* of $\mathcal{A}$ iff for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ $Con_{\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}}(A) = Con_{\mathcal{A}}(A)$. Note that an algebra is simple in the compatible expansion $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ iff it is simple in $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then A admits an $E(\sigma)$ -expansion iff there is a family of A -operation $\sigma_A = (f_i^A)_{i \in I}$ making (A, σ_A) into a $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ -algebra. This $E(\sigma)$ -expansion on A is *canonical* iff σ_A is unique and each sub algebra of A admits at most one $E(\sigma)$ -expansion.

Proposition 1.2 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a variety and $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ be a compatible expansion. If I is maximum simple algebra in $\mathcal{A}$ admitting a canonical $E(\sigma)$ -expansion, then I is maximum simple in $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$.*

Proof: Let (I, σ_I) be canonical $E(\sigma)$ -expansion of I and A be a simple algebra in $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$. Since A is simple in $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a sub $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra A_0 of I $\mathcal{A}$ -isomorphic to A . An $\mathcal{A}$ -isomorphism $i : A \rightarrow A_0$ induces σ -operations on A_0 making (A_0, σ_{A_0}) into a $\mathcal{A}_{E(\sigma)}$ -algebra. Since the expansion (I, σ_I) is canonical, σ_{A_0} is the set of restrictions to A_0 of the σ_I -operations. Thus i is a $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$ -monomorphism, proving that I is maximum simple algebra in $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$. $\square$

2 MV-expansions and Pavelka-style completeness

Definition 2.1 *An MV-algebra [4], [8] is an algebra $\langle A, \wedge, \vee, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$ satisfying the following axioms:*

1. $\langle A, \odot, 1 \rangle$ is an abelian monoid,
2. $L(A) = \langle A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$ is a bounded lattice,
3. $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)$,
4. $((x \rightarrow y) \odot x) \wedge y = (x \rightarrow y) \odot x$,
5. $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow y = 1$,
6. $x \odot (x \rightarrow y) = x \wedge y$,
7. $(x \rightarrow y) \vee (y \rightarrow x) = 1$,
8. $(x \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0 = x$

We denote by $\mathcal{MV}$ the variety of MV-algebras. In agreement with the usual MV-algebraic operations we define the *negation* as the unary operation $\neg x = x \rightarrow 0$. and the binary operation $x \oplus y = \neg(\neg x \odot \neg y)$, $x \rightarrow y = \neg x \oplus y$. For element x in a MV-algebra and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote nx the element inductively defined by $0x = 0$, $(n+1)x = (nx) \oplus x$. $\mathcal{MV}$ satisfies congruence extension property [1, Theorem 1.8] and then each compatible expansion also satisfies. An important example is $[0, 1]_{MV} = \langle [0, 1], \odot, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$ such that $[0, 1]$ is the real unit segment, $\wedge, \vee$ are the natural meet and join on $[0, 1]$ and $\odot$ and $\rightarrow$ are defined as follows: $x \odot y := \max(0, x + y - 1)$, $x \rightarrow y := \min(1, 1 - x + y)$. $[0, 1]_{MV}$ is the maximum simple algebra in $\mathcal{MV}$ (see [4, Theorem 3.5.1]). Moreover $[0, 1]_{MV}$ is a rigid algebra (see [4, Corollary 7.2.6]), hence self-injective resulting injective in the variety $\mathcal{MV}$ in view of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 2.2 *Let $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$ be a compatible expansion of $\mathcal{MV}$ such that $[0, 1]_{MV}$ admits a canonical $E(\sigma)$ -expansion. Then the $E(\sigma)$ -expansion of $[0, 1]_{MV}$ is injective in $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$.*

Proof: Let $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ be the $E(\sigma)$ -expansion of $[0, 1]_{MV}$. Since $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$ is a compatible expansion of $\mathcal{MV}$, it satisfies the congruence extension property, and clearly 0, 1 are distinguished constant. Then by Proposition 1.2 $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ is a maximum simple algebra. Moreover $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ is self injective since the expansion is canonical. Thus by Theorem 1.1 $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ is injective. $\square$

Definition 2.3 A compatible expansion $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$ of $\mathcal{MV}$ is said to be *admissible* if

1. $[0, 1]_{MV}$ admits canonical $E(\sigma)$ -expansion,
2. $Q_{[0,1]}$ can be $E(\sigma)$ -expanded, where $Q_{[0,1]}$ denotes the subalgebra of rational numbers in $[0, 1]_{MV}$.

Definition 2.4 We can define the Łukasiewicz propositional calculus L [4] from $\tau = \{\rightarrow, 0\}$ where $\rightarrow$ is a binary connective and 0 is constant. Further connectives are defined as follows: $\neg\alpha$ is $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, 1 is $\neg 0$ and $\alpha \odot \beta$ is $\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta)$. The following formulas are axioms

$$L1 \quad \alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$$

$$L2 \quad (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$$

$$\text{L3 } (\neg\alpha \rightarrow \neg\beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$$

$$\text{L4 } ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\beta \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha)$$

The unique deduction rule is the *Modus Ponens*

This calculus is algebraizable in the variety $\mathcal{MV}$ via the system $p \Leftrightarrow q = \{p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow p\}$ and $\delta(p) \approx \epsilon(p) = \{p \approx p \rightarrow p\}$ (see [14]).

Definition 2.5 By an *admissible expansion* of Łukasiewicz logic L we understand a logic L_σ involving a set σ of new connectives and new axioms, algebraizable in an admissible expansion $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$ of $\mathcal{MV}$.

Proposition 2.6 *Given an admissible expansion L' of L , an L' -formula α , and $T \in Th_{L'}$ such that $\alpha \notin T$, there exists $T' \in Th_{L'}$ such that $T \subseteq T'$, $\alpha \notin T'$ and $Fm_{L'}/\Omega T'$ is totally ordered.*

Proof: Follows from [8, Lemma 2.4.2] and the fact that compatible expansions do not involve new inference rules. $\square$

Definition 2.7 [8, §3.3] A σ - *Pavelka expansion* of Łukasiewicz logic is obtained by adding into the language of an admissible expansion L_σ of L , *truth constant* $\bar{r}$ for each $r \in Q \cap [0, 1]$, together with the following additional *book-keeping* axioms:

1. $\bar{0} \iff 0$,
2. $\bar{r} \rightarrow \bar{s} \iff \overline{r \rightarrow s}$,
3. $f(\bar{r}_1 \dots \bar{r}_n) \iff \overline{f(r_1 \dots r_n)}$ for each n -ary connective f in σ and $r_1 \dots r_n \in Q$.

Moreover, for each theory T and each formula α in the σ - Pavelka expansion we define:

The *truth degree* of α over T is $\|\alpha\|_T = \bigwedge \{v(\alpha) : v(T) = 1 \text{ in } [0, 1]\}$.

The *proof degree* of α is $|\alpha|_T = \bigvee \{r \in Q : \bar{r} \rightarrow \alpha \in T\}$.

Observe that σ -Pavelka expansion is an admissible expansion $L_{\sigma \cup \{\bar{r} : r \in Q\}}$ of L .

We denote by $Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})$ the set of the formulas of the σ -Pavelka expansion. The following theorem is a generalization of Pavelka completeness for Łukasiewicz logic (see [8, §3.3]):

Theorem 2.8 *Let L_σ be an admissible expansion of L . If T is a theory and φ is a formula, both in the σ -Pavelka expansion of L , then*

$$|\varphi|_T = \|\varphi\|_T.$$

Proof: Let $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ the $E(\sigma)$ -expansion of $[0, 1]_{MV}$ and the $Q_{E(\sigma)}$ the $E(\sigma)$ -expansion of $Q_{[0, 1]}$. Assume that $T \neq Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})$. We first prove that $|\varphi|_T$ is a lower bound of $\{v(\varphi) : v(T) = 1\}$. Let v be a valuation such that $v(T) = 1$. If $r \in Q$ is such that $\bar{r} \rightarrow \varphi \in T$ then, we have that $1 = v(\bar{r} \rightarrow \varphi) = r \rightarrow v(\varphi)$. Thus $r \leq v(\varphi)$ resulting $|\varphi|_T \leq v(\varphi)$. We proceed now to prove that $|\varphi|_T$ is the greatest lower bound of $\{v(\varphi) : v(T) = 1\}$. In fact, let b be a lower bound of $\{v(\varphi) : v(T) = 1\}$. Suppose that $|\varphi|_T < b$. Then there exists $r_0 \in Q$ such that $|\varphi|_T < r_0 < b$. Thus T does not prove $\bar{r}_0 \rightarrow \varphi$. By Proposition 2.6 there exists a theory T' such that $T \subseteq T'$, T' does not prove $\bar{r}_0 \rightarrow \varphi$ and $Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})/\Omega T'$ is a totally ordered algebra in $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$ containing $\{\bar{r}\}_{r \in Q}$ as sub algebra isomorphic to $Q_{E(\sigma)}$. Since T' does not prove $\bar{r}_0 \rightarrow \varphi$, $[\bar{r}_0] \rightarrow [\varphi] < 1$ in $Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})/\Omega T'$ resulting $[\varphi] < [\bar{r}_0]$ since it is a totally order. Let i_1 the canonical embedding $Q_{E(\sigma)} \rightarrow [0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ and i_2 the canonical embedding $Q_{E(\sigma)} \rightarrow Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})/\Omega T'$. Since $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ is injective in the $\mathcal{MV}_{E(\sigma)}$, there exist an homomorphism $f : Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})/\Omega T' \rightarrow [0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{E(\sigma)} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & [0, 1]_{E(\sigma)} \\ i_2 \downarrow & \equiv \nearrow & \\ Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})/\Omega T' & \xrightarrow{f} & \end{array}$$

By the commutativity $f([\varphi]) \leq r_0 < b$. If we consider the valuation $\pi : Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q}) \rightarrow Fm_\sigma(\overline{Q})/\Omega T'$ such that $\alpha \rightarrow [\alpha]$ then the composition $f\pi$ is a valuation over $[0, 1]_{E(\sigma)}$ such that $f\pi(T') = 1$ and $f\pi(T) = 1$ since $T \subseteq T'$ resulting $f\pi(\varphi) \in \{v(\varphi) : v(T) = 1\}$. But $f\pi(\varphi) \leq r_0 < b$ which is a contradiction since b is a lower bound of $\{v(\varphi) : v(T) = 1\}$. Therefore $b \leq |\varphi|_T$ resulting $|\varphi|_T = \|\varphi\|_T$. $\square$

From the above completeness theorem, we can establish a kind of compactness theorem.

Theorem 2.9 *Let S be a set of formulas and α be a formula in a σ -Pavelka expansion. Then we have:*

$$\text{If } r \leq \|\alpha\|_{T(S)} \text{ then } \exists S_0 \subseteq S \text{ finite such that } r \leq \|\alpha\|_{T(S_0)}$$

Proof: If $r \leq \|\alpha\|_{T(S)}$ then by Theorem 2.8, $\bar{r} \rightarrow \alpha \in T(S)$. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \bar{r} \rightarrow \alpha$ is a proof of $\bar{r} \rightarrow \alpha$ from S , we can consider the finite set $S_0 = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Using again Theorem 2.8 we have $r \leq |\alpha|_{T_{S_0}} = \|\alpha\|_{T_{S_0}}$ $\square$

3 Applications

3.1 Łukasiewicz logic

Since Łukasiewicz logic $\mathbb{L}$, is an admissible expansion of itself (with $\sigma = \emptyset$), Theorem 2.8 provides a new proof of Pavelka completeness for Łukasiewicz logic with rational constants (cf. [8, §3.3]).

3.2 Product Łukasiewicz logic

Definition 3.1 A product MV-algebra [11] (for short: PMV-algebra) is an algebra $\langle A, \bullet \rangle$ satisfying the following

- 1 A is an MV-algebra
- 2 $\langle A, \bullet, 1 \rangle$ is an abelian monoid
- 3 $x \bullet (y \odot \neg z) = (x \bullet y) \odot \neg(x \bullet z)$

We denote by $\mathcal{PMV}$ the variety of PMV-algebras. If A is a PMV-algebra then [11, Lemma 2.11] $Con_{\mathcal{PMV}}(A) = Con_{\mathcal{MV}}(A)$, resulting $\mathcal{PMV}$ a compatible expansion of $\mathcal{MV}$. $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{MV}}$ equipped with the usual multiplication in the unitary interval is a PMV-algebra denoted by $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{PMV}}$. It contains $Q[0, 1]$ equipped with multiplication as sub PMV-algebra. It is clear that $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{PMV}}$ is a hereditarily simple algebra. If A is a semisimple MV-algebra then is at most one operation $\bullet$ making $\langle A, \bullet \rangle$ into a PMV-algebra [12, Lemma 3.1.14]. Thus $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{PMV}}$ is the canonical PMV-expansion of $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{MV}}$.

Definition 3.2 We define the product Łukasiewicz propositional calculus PL adding into the language of L the binary connective $\bullet$ and considering the following formulas as axioms

PL0 Łukasiewicz axioms

$$PL1 \quad (\alpha \bullet \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \bullet \alpha)$$

$$PL2 \quad (\top \bullet \alpha) \iff \alpha$$

$$PL3 \quad (\alpha \bullet \beta) \rightarrow \beta$$

$$PL4 \quad (\alpha \bullet \beta) \bullet \gamma \iff \alpha \bullet (\beta \bullet \gamma)$$

$$PL5 \quad x \bullet (y \odot \neg z) \iff (x \bullet y) \odot \neg(x \bullet z)$$

The unique deduction rule is the *Modus Ponens*

Proposition 3.3 PL calculus is algebraizable in $\mathcal{PMV}$.

Proof: We only need to prove that $\alpha \iff \beta \vdash_{PL} \gamma \bullet \alpha \iff \gamma \bullet \beta$. For this we prove that $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \rightarrow (\gamma \bullet \beta))$.

- (1) $\vdash \gamma \bullet (\alpha \odot \neg \beta) \rightarrow ((\alpha \odot \neg \beta))$ by Ax PL3
- (2) $\vdash ((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta)) \rightarrow \gamma \bullet (\alpha \odot \neg \beta)$ by Ax PL5
- (3) $\vdash ((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta)) \rightarrow (\alpha \odot \neg \beta)$ by 1,2 L2
- (4) $\vdash (((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta)) \rightarrow (\alpha \odot \neg \beta)) \rightarrow (\neg(\alpha \odot \neg \beta) \rightarrow \neg((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta)))$
by L3
- (5) $\vdash \neg(\alpha \odot \neg \beta) \rightarrow \neg((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta))$ by MP 3,4
- (6) $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \neg(\alpha \odot \neg \beta)$ by [4, Lemma 3.1.1]
- (7) $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \neg((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta))$ by 5,6 , L2
- (8) $\vdash \neg((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \odot \neg(\gamma \bullet \beta)) \rightarrow ((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \rightarrow (\gamma \bullet \beta))$ by [4, Lemma 3.1.1]
- (9) $\vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow ((\gamma \bullet \alpha) \rightarrow (\gamma \bullet \beta))$ by MP 3,4

□

From the above follows that PL is an admissible expansion of L . Consequently we can apply Theorem 2.8 to obtain a Pavelka style completeness for product Łukasiewicz logic. The Pavelka style completeness for product Łukasiewicz logic was obtained in a different form in [10].

3.3 Division Łukasiewicz logic

Definition 3.4 A divisible MV-algebra [7] (for short: DMV-algebra) is an algebra $\langle A, (\delta_n)_{n \in N} \rangle$ with δ_n unary operation satisfying the following for each $x \in A$, $n \in N$:

- 1 A is an MV-algebra
- 2 $n\delta_n x = x$
- 3 $\delta_n x \odot (n - 1)\delta_n x = 0$

We denote by $\mathcal{DMV}$ the variety of DMV-algebras. If A is a DMV-algebra then [7, Proposition 5.1.7] $Con_{\mathcal{DMV}}(A) = Con_{\mathcal{MV}}(A)$, resulting $\mathcal{DMV}$ a compatible expansion of $\mathcal{MV}$. An important example of DMV-algebra is $[0, 1]_{MV}$ equipped with the unary operations $\delta_n x = x/n$, the division by n . This algebra is denoted by $[0, 1]_{DMV}$ containing $Q \cap [0, 1]_{DMV}$ as sub algebra. It is clear that $[0, 1]_{DMV}$ is hereditarily simple algebra. By [7, Proposition 5.1.3] $(\delta_n = x/n)_{n \in N}$ are the unique operations making $[0, 1]_{MV}$ and $Q \cap [0, 1]_{MV}$ into a DMV-algebra resulting canonical expansions.

Definition 3.5 We define the division Łukasiewicz propositional calculus DL adding into the language of L unary connectives $(\delta_n)_{n \in N}$ plus definig inductively $2\alpha = \neg\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$, $(k + 1)\alpha = \neg\alpha \rightarrow k\alpha$ and considering the following formulas as axioms

DL0 Łukasiewicz axioms

DL1 $\alpha \iff k(\delta_k \alpha)$

DL2 $(\alpha \rightarrow k\beta) \rightarrow (\delta_k \alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

The unique deduction rule is the *Modus Ponens*

Proposition 3.6 [7, Proposition 5.2.1] *DL calculus is algebraizable in $\mathcal{DMV}$.* □

From the above follows that DL is an admissible expansion of L . Consequently we can apply Theorem 2.8 to obtain a Pavelka style completeness for this logic.

3.4 Łukasiewicz logics with the negation fixpoint

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be anyone of the following varieties: $\mathcal{MV}$, $\mathcal{PMV}$ or $\mathcal{DMV}$ and $L(\mathcal{A})$ be the corresponding propositional calculus. Let $\mathcal{A}_{1/2}$ be the variety built from $\mathcal{A}$, adjoining the new constant symbol k and the equation $\neg k = k$. It is clear that if A is a $\mathcal{A}_k$ -algebra then, $Con_{\mathcal{A}_k}(A) = Con_{\mathcal{MV}}(A)$, resulting $\mathcal{A}_k$ a compatible expansion of $\mathcal{MV}$. $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{A}}$ equipped with the constant $k = 1/2$ is a $\mathcal{A}_k$ -algebra noted $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{A}_k}$. It contains $Q_{[0,1]}$ equipped with the $\mathcal{A}_k$ -operations as sub $\mathcal{A}_k$ -algebra. It is clear that $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{A}_k}$ is hereditarily simple. By [9, Lemma 2.10], $k = 1/2$ is the unique fixpoint of the negation of $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{A}_k}$ is the $\mathcal{A}_k$ -canonical expansion of $[0, 1]_{\mathcal{MV}}$. We define the propositional calculus $L(\mathcal{A}_k)$, adding into the language of $L(\mathcal{A})$ the constant symbol k , and consider the following formulas as axioms:

1. $L(\mathcal{A})$ -axioms
2. $\neg k \iff k$

and modus ponens as unique deduction rule. It is clear that $L(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is algebraizable in $\mathcal{A}_k$. From this, follows that $L(\mathcal{A}_k)$ is an admissible expansion of L . Consequently we can apply Theorem 2.8 to obtain a Pavelka style completeness for these logics (see also [5]).

References

- [1] W.J. Blok, I.M.A. Ferreirim, *On the structure of hoops*, Algebra Univers. **43** (2000), 233-257.
- [2] W.J. Blok, D. Pigozzi, *Algebraizable logics*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc., Number 396, 1989.
- [3] S. Burris, H. P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Graduate Text in Mathematics, Vol. 78. Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1981.
- [4] R. Cignoli, M. I. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici, *Algebraic foundations of many-valued reasoning*, Kluwer, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000.
- [5] F. Esteva, L. Godo, F. Montagna *The $L\Pi$ and $L\Pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$: two complete fuzzy systems joining Łukasiewicz and Product Logic*, Arch. Math. Logic, **40** (2001), 39-67.

- [6] H. Freytes, *Injectives in residuated algebras*, Algebra Univers. **51** (2004), 373-393.
- [7] B. Gerla, *Many-valued logic based on continuous t -norms and their functional representation* (Ph.D. thesis), Dipartimento di scienze dell'Informazione, Università di Milano 2002.
- [8] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of fuzzy logic*, Kluwer, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1998.
- [9] U. Höhle, *Commutative, residuated l -monoids*. In: *Non-classical Logics and their applications to Fuzzy Subset*, a Handbook on the Mathematical Foundations of Fuzzy Set Theory, U. Höhle, E. P. Klement, (Editors). Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [10] R. Horčík, P. Cintula, *Product Łukasiewicz Logic*, Arch. Math. Logic, **43** (2004), 477-503.
- [11] F. Montagna, *An Algebraic Approach to Propositional Fuzzy logic*, J. Logic Lang. Inf., **9** (2000), 91-124
- [12] D. Mundici, B. Riečan, *Probability on MV-algebras*. In: Handbook of Measure Theory (E. Pap Ed.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp 869-909.
- [13] J. Pavelka, *On fuzzy logic I, II, III*, Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. **25** (1979), 45-52, 119-134, 447-464
- [14] A. J. Rodríguez, A. Torrens, V. Verdù, *Łukasiewicz logic and Wajsberg algebras*, Bull. Sect. Log., Univ. Lodz, Dep. Log., **19** (1990), 51-55.

e-mail: hfreytes@gmail.com